IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.25986 of 2008
MRITUNJAY KUMAR JHA @ MRITYUNJAY KUMAR @ BADKA BAUA
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
---

2. 15.7.2008 Heard.

The rejection order passed by the learned Judge of F.T.C.5, Darbhanga indicates the material as if the petitioner ought to have been named in the FIR if the facts were standing like that.

The contention is that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and the petitioner's complicity could not be established from the facts and that the informant of the case was made an accused and he stands admitted to bail like other accused persons.

Regard being had to the facts and circumstances, let the above named petitioner be released from custody on furnishing bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of C.J.M.Darbhanga in Darbhanga town P.S.Case no.50 of 2006.

(Dharnidhar Jha, J.)

B.Kr.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL